

P. STERLING KERR, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 3978  
TAYLOR L. SIMPSON, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 13956  
KERR SIMPSON ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
2900 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway, Suite 200  
Henderson, Nevada 89052  
Telephone No. (702) 451-2055  
Facsimile No. (702) 451-2077  
Email: [sterling@kerrsimpsonlaw.com](mailto:sterling@kerrsimpsonlaw.com)  
Email: [taylor@kerrsimpsonlaw.com](mailto:taylor@kerrsimpsonlaw.com)  
*Attorneys for Defendant Tako LLC*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF NEVADA

PARNELL COLVIN,

Case No.: 2:22-cv-01928-CDS-NJK

Plaintiff,

vs.

TAKO LLC.

**Defendant.**

**DEFENDANT TAKO LLC'S  
EMERGENCY MOTION TO  
REMAND**

COMES NOW, Defendant TAKO LLC (hereinafter “Tako” or “Defendant”), by and through its counsel, TAYLOR SIMPSON, ESQ. of KERR SIMPSON ATTORNEYS AT LAW, and hereby respectfully submits this Emergency Motion to Remand.

111

111

Pursuant to LR 7-4, this Motion is based on the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Taylor Simpson, Esq. attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**, the papers and pleadings on file herein, and the argument of counsel at the hearing of this matter.

DATED this 16th day of November 2022.

KERR SIMPSON ATTORNEYS AT LAW

/s/ Taylor Simpson, Esq.

P. STERLING KERR, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 3978

TAYLOR SIMPSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 13956

2900 Horizon Ridge Parkway, Suite 200

Henderson, Nevada 89052

Telephone No. (702) 451-2055

Facsimile No. (702) 451-2077

Email: sterling@kerrsimpsonlaw.com

Email: taylor@kerrsimpsonlaw.com

*Attorneys for Tako, LLC*

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

### I. INTRODUCTION

This matter could be the poster child for abuse of process. Plaintiff Parnell Colvin (hereinafter “Mr. Colvin”) has sought to remain in possession of the leased premises without paying rent for nearly four years. Mr. Colvin has been able to achieve such an unscrupulous feat by routinely abusing the federal removal process and the bankruptcy process. This matter was originally a simple summary eviction matter brought in the Las Vegas Justice Court by Defendant Tako, LLC (hereinafter “Tako”) because Mr. Colvin failed to fulfill his obligations to pay rent. However, Mr. Colvin has filed bankruptcy ten times within the last nine years. Six of said bankruptcies were filed within three years in order to avoid Tako’s eviction attempts. Furthermore, Mr. Colvin’s latest attempts at subterfuge and delay include filing the present removal action despite this very same Court remanding with prejudice the matter only nine days ago. The Court

1 lacks subject-matter jurisdiction to hear the matter. Tako respectfully requests an emergency order  
2 remanding the matter (again) and enter an order sanctioning Mr. Colvin by forbidding him from  
3 removing this matter again.

4 **II. LEGAL ARGUMENT**

5 **a. The Court Should Remand this Matter as there is no Basis for Federal**  
**Jurisdiction.**

7 Generally, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441, a lawsuit may be removed from state court to  
8 federal court, in which the federal court has original jurisdiction, an issue of federal law or  
9 stemming from the U.S. Constitution. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a). Additionally, pursuant to  
10 28 U.S.C. § 1441, removal of a lawsuit from state court to federal court may be based on complete  
11 diversity of citizenship between the Parties, as long as the controversy in question exceeds  
12 \$75,000.00. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b).

14 Here, there is no basis for federal jurisdiction because this matter is a simple summary  
15 eviction matter with no diversity and no issues of federal law. First, there is no diversity  
16 jurisdiction. Colvin resides in the property, which is located in Clark County, Nevada. Tako is a  
17 Nevada entity and its members are both residents of Clark County, Nevada. Thus, there is no  
18 diversity jurisdiction.

20 Second, there is no federal question. The justice court action is a simple summary eviction  
21 proceeding under NRS 40.251 et seq. for Mr. Colvin's unlawful detainer and failure to pay his  
22 rental obligations. There are no questions of federal law. Therefore, the Court should remand the  
23 matter to the Las Vegas Justice Court.

24 **b. Tako Respectfully Requests Special Instructions in the Order Remanding the**  
**Case.**

26 Tako respectfully requests that if the Court is inclined to grant this Emergency Motion, that  
27 the Court include in the Order language affirmatively prohibiting Mr. Colvin from any future  
28

1 attempts to remove this case again. As this Court is no doubt painfully aware, this Court issued an  
2 order on November 7, 2022 dismissing/remanding this matter back to the Las Vegas Justice Court  
3 with prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction (Case No. 2:22-cv-00082-CDS-DJA). Tako  
4 promptly filed a Motion to Place the Matter back on Calendar. *See* Motion to Place on Calendar  
5 attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**. In response, Mr. Colvin improperly filed another removal thus  
6 creating the instant case. *See* Motion to Continue Summary Eviction Hearing attached hereto as  
7 **Exhibit 3**. In response, the Las Vegas Justice Court entered an Order Vacating Hearing and  
8 Continuing Stay Under Federal Removal Notice. See Order Vacating Hearing and Continuing Stay  
9 Under Federal Removal Notice attached hereto as **Exhibit 4**. In said Order, the Las Vegas Justice  
10 Court stated:

12           This hearing officer was informed that the court must recognize the current  
13 removal notice and stay the action further. This court may move forward if  
14 the Federal Court affirmatively prohibits the Tenant from filing a new  
15 removal as it pertains to this eviction action or sanctions the Tenant in some  
other form which makes it clear the Justice Court may proceed.

16           Tako, in desperation, respectfully requests that should the Court grant this Emergency  
17 Motion, that the Court include in the Order some further instruction that Mr. Colvin is sanctioned  
18 or prohibited from any future removal attempts of this action and/or that any such further removal  
19 attempts of this action by Mr. Colvin are null and void and that the Justice Court may disregard  
20 any such future attempts and may continue. Tako is convinced given Mr. Colvin's duplicitous  
21 track history, that if the Court does not issue such special instructions/sanctions, Mr. Colvin will  
22 no doubt attempt remove the action again.

24           ///

25           ///

26

27

28

### III. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Tako respectfully requests the Court remand the instant case and issue special instructions and/or sanctions that forbid any future removal attempts.

DATED this 16th day of November 2022.

## KERR SIMPSON ATTORNEYS AT LAW

/s/ Taylor Simpson, Esq.

P. STERLING KERR, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 3978

## TAYLOR SIMPSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 13956

2900 Horizon Ridge Parkway, Suite 200

Henderson, Nevada 89052

Telephone No. (702) 451-2055

Facsimile No. (702) 451-2077

Email: [sterling@kerrsimpsonlaw.com](mailto:sterling@kerrsimpsonlaw.com)

Email: taylor@kerrsimpsonlaw.com

Attorneys for Tako, LLC

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I am an employee of Kerr Simpson Attorneys at Law, and the on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of November 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing DEFENDANT TAKO LLC'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO REMAND was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court by using CM/ECF service which will provide copies to all parties of record registered to receive CM/ECF notification and via first class United States mail.

Parnell Colvin  
6681 Tara Ave.  
Las Vegas, NV 89146  
[Pc681@yahoo.com](mailto:Pc681@yahoo.com)  
*Defendant, Pro Se*

/s/ Lisa Peters  
An employee of KERR SIMPSON  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW